

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/812,351	DURACK ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Maureen M. Wallenhorst	<b>Art Unit</b> 1797

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Ryan Christensen (Appl. Rep., Reg.#60,291). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 22 January 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

45, 56-58, 62, 64, 81

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was agreed to make minor changes in claims 45, 56-58, 62 and 81, to cancel claim 64 and to amend the specification to provide a brief description for figures 45-48 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Maureen M. Wallenhorst/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)